



Children's
SOCIETY
Caring for the Future

Research Bites

Satisfy your hunger for knowledge with the Research & Outreach Centre's Biannual Newsletter

MCI (P) 099/07/2016

Issue 2 » Feb 2017

Are All Schools "Good" Schools? 1

Child Temperament 3

Perceptions of Child Abuse & Neglect 4

Cyberbullying 6

Spotlight: Research Grant Recipient 7

A Note from Our Editor 8

Are All Schools "Good" Schools?

What do children really think?



Images provided by



To find out, we conducted a survey with 300 primary school students (P4 to P6) and 301 secondary school students (Sec 1 to Sec 4).

Schools the students attended were classified into different tiers, based on their academic programmes. Schools in the highest tier offered the Gifted Education Programme (GEP) or the Integrated Programme (IP).

Editorial Team

Editor: Ms Denise Liu

Contributors: Ms Chan Qing Rong

Ms Jerrine Khong

Ms Rosie Lim

Ms Ong Xiang Ling

Dr Quah Saw Han

Mr Jacky Tan

Ms Jasmine Wong

Advisors: A/Prof John Elliott

Ms Sue Cheng

Layout: Dr Tan Seok Hui

Printer: Gan Offset Services



We found that regardless of school type, students perceived those from "good" schools to have a higher social status than those from "other" schools.



Students also felt that those from "good" schools had better academic competence than those from "other" schools.

Please send feedback and subscription requests to researchbites@childrensociety.org.sg.

Research Bites is a publication by the Singapore Children's Society. The articles, opinions, and comments are those of the contributors and are not necessarily those of the Singapore Children's Society or its management. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without permission is prohibited. All information is correct at time of printing.

Page 1

What Do Children Really Think About "Good" Schools in Singapore?

In the survey, students were asked to indicate on a ladder their own *social status* (i.e. wealth, housing and exam scores), and to rate their *academic competence*. They were also asked to do the same for a generic student from a "good" school as well as a generic student from an "other" school.



Perceptions of Oneself vs. Others

Primary School

Social Status

There were no differences in the way primary school students from different school types rated their own social status.

Academic Competence

There were also no differences in the way primary school students rated their own academic competence.

Secondary School

Social Status

Students in the highest school tier gave themselves a higher rating of social status, whereas those from lower tiers gave themselves a lower rating of social status.

Academic Competence

Secondary school students from different school types did not differ in the way they rated their own academic competence.

Differences Emerge at Secondary School

Students gave the generic "good" school student a high social status and the generic "other" school student a low social status. Students in the highest school tier were more likely to see themselves as similar in social status to generic students from "good" schools, whereas those of other school tiers saw themselves as similar to generic students from "other" schools.

Our findings indicate that there may be some social distance between the students from schools typically considered to be "good" and those from other schools.

What can be done?

- ➡ Address the stereotype that students from "good" schools have higher social status.
- ➡ Provide opportunities for students from different school types to interact with one another.





Stay tuned for more findings in our next issue!

The Easy vs. Difficult Child

What Factors Contribute to Child Temperament?


Child temperament refers to the way children approach and react to people and their surroundings. In this study, we were interested in whether **child temperament** would be affected by the child's gender, who their main caregiver was, the number of times their main caregiver changed, and the emotional bond between mother and baby.

Easy Temperament	vs.	Difficult Temperament
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Easy to soothe• Sociable• Cooperative 		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Tends to cry & be fussy• Shy• Irritable 
More likely to have		More likely to have
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Better social skills• Secure bond with mother		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Poorer social skills• Insecure bond with mother



Our Findings

The child's gender, who the child's main caregiver was, and the number of times the caregiver changed did not relate to child temperament. Instead, children with a secure attachment were more likely to have an easy rather than difficult temperament.



In conclusion, parents and caregivers can focus more on maintaining positive interactions with their child, rather than count the hours of contact. This focus is especially important for parents whose work reduces their time with their children, or for parents and caregivers of temperamentally difficult children.

Stay tuned for more findings in our next issue!

What Sort of Behaviours Constitute Child Abuse and Neglect?

Through the Lens of Different Professionals



Professionals play an important role in child protection, as maltreated children are often unable to seek help for themselves.

We asked 1,155 professionals (counsellors, doctors, educators, lawyers, nurses, police officers, social workers) whether they considered 18 behaviours to be *abuse*.

Findings

Professionals, regardless of their professions, perceived child abuse and neglect by defining it with respect to a high severity of harm to children.

Professionals were almost unanimous in regarding *extreme behaviours of harm* as child abuse and neglect.



Having sex with a child
98% regarded it as *abuse*



Burning a child with a cigarette
98% regarded it as *abuse*

However, professional perceptions differed for behaviours with *less visible harm* to children, the prime example being emotional maltreatment.



Calling a child "useless"
19% to 62% regarded it as *abuse*




Threatening to abandon a child
33% to 57% regarded it as *abuse*

What Sort of Behaviours Constitute Child Abuse and Neglect? *continued...*

Through the Lens of Different Professionals

Emotional Maltreatment: *Is it abuse?*



Implications

1 Among professionals, there is consensus that extreme behaviours of harm directed at children *is* abuse.

2 Professionals are less consistent in identifying child abuse and neglect which have less visible signs of harm.

3 More focus could be given to behaviours with less visible harm, particularly emotional maltreatment, when training professionals.

Cyberbullying

When Bullying Goes Online



What is Cyberbullying? @bullyfree.sg

Cyberbullying is bullying in cyberspace where harm is intentionally and repeatedly inflicted upon an individual through the use of computers, mobile phones, and other electronic devices.



About 1 in 9 reported being **CYBERBULLIED**

But, **40%**
of victims also
cyberbullied others

NO
gender differences

Compared to those who have not been bullied, cybervictims are more likely to have frequent psychosomatic symptoms such as recurring headaches, socioemotional and behavioural problems, and self-harm and suicidal thoughts.

HOW

Top Tactics:

- Disrespected online
- Called names
- Rumours about them

Affects their
online reputation

WHERE

Top Platforms:

 Social
Networking
Sites

Text Messaging



BY WHOM

Likely to
have an
idea of
who the
bully is!



**TRENDS of
CYBERBULLYING**

Cyberbullying *continued...*

When Bullying Goes Online



What can you do to help?



Visit www.bullyfree.sg for more information




This study is a collaboration between the Singapore Children's Society and the Child Guidance Clinic of the Institute of Mental Health.



Spotlight!

Research Grant Recipient

Ms Tok Kheng Leng was a recipient of the Singapore Children's Society Research Grant in 2015. She was a Social Work student at the National University of Singapore and completed this study as her Honours Thesis.




What factors contribute to academic resilience?

Academic resilience is characterised by the ability of students to achieve good educational outcomes despite experiencing adverse living conditions such as poverty.

Participants

Six low-income one-child (aged 9 to 13 years) families were interviewed. Children who scored above the 70th percentile at year-end and those with a Primary School Leaving Examination score of 213 or higher were included in the study.

Demographics



Challenges

Children faced a number of challenges which included: being bullied, having a desire to hide their family's financial situation from their friends, a home environment unconducive for studying, and parental conflict.

Findings

Strong parent-child relationships contributed to academic excellence despite challenges from poverty. Despite limited resources, the families strategised to help their children achieve academic success.

Parents' strategies

- Monitored children's homework closely.
- Established routines and rules regarding homework.
- Trained children to be independent and resourceful.
- Used insights about their children to motivate them.

Children's strategies

- Revision strategies (e.g., focus on difficult topics).
- Confidence in their academic abilities.
- Made time to relax and manage stress.
- Overcame unconducive studying environment.

Singapore Children's Society Research Grant

Since 1992, the Singapore Children's Society has awarded over 90 research grants to students conducting research related to children, youth, and families. For more information, visit www.childrensociety.org.sg/research-grants.



Email us to
subscribe to the
e-version of our
newsletter at
[researchbites@
childrensociety.org.sg!](mailto:researchbites@childrensociety.org.sg)

A Note From Our Editor

Dear Readers,


Welcome to the second issue of Research Bites, the Singapore Children's Society's bi-annual research newsletter. By presenting our research in bite-sized chunks, we aim to make our findings accessible to practitioners, researchers and anyone else interested in finding out more about our work. In this issue, we feature our research on a variety of issues pertinent to children and youth such as perceptions of child abuse and child temperament. We also hope to answer questions like "do children think that all schools are good schools?" and "how many students in Singapore experience cyberbullying?"

I hope that you have enjoyed reading Research Bites! If Research Bites has whetted your appetite and you would like to find out more, I encourage you to refer to our website (www.childrensociety.org.sg/research-ongoing) for more in-depth information about each study. From the next issue onward, we will be moving Research Bites online. If you prefer to continue receiving print copies of Research Bites, please contact us. Otherwise, subscribe to the e-version of Research Bites by dropping us an email at researchbites@childrensociety.org.sg.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank everyone who contributed to Research Bites, especially our Research Committee members, Dr Tan Seok Hui and Dr Quah Saw Han. We look forward to hearing from our readers, so please feel free to drop us an email if you have any comments or suggestions.

Thank you, and happy reading!

Denise Liu,
Editor, Research Bites



Research Bites, Singapore Children's Society's bi-annual research newsletter, aims to make research findings more accessible to interested members of the public and professionals working with children. With its easily digestible visual format, **Research Bites** aims to acquaint readers with our research — both completed and in progress — and research-related information. **Research Bites** is available at www.childrensociety.org.sg/research-bites.

Research Committee

Research Committee Members

A/Prof John Elliott (Chairman)
Dr Tan Seok Hui (Vice-Chairman)
Dr Magdalene Chan (RC Member)
Dr Cheung Hoi Shan (RC Member)
A/Prof Daniel Fung (RC Member)
Dr Quah Saw Han (RC Member)
Mrs Maria Shiu (RC Member)
Adj A/Prof Cuthbert Teo (RC Member)

Research Staff Members

Ms Sue Cheng (Senior Director)
Ms Denise Liu (Principal Research Officer)
Ms Chan Qing Rong (Senior Research Officer)
Ms Jerrine Khong (Research Officer)
Ms Rosie Lim (Research Officer)
Ms Lui Zhi Jing (Research Officer)
Mr Jacky Tan (Research Officer)
Ms Jasmine Wong (Research Officer)